

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME	RCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office	/
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS	1
P.O. Box 1450	١.

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,444	01/21/2004		Gerardo M. Castillo	25835-4001B	5173	
20985	7590	03/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC P.O. BOX 1022			FAY, ZOHREH A			
		55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1618		

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,444	CASTILLO ET AL	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zohreh A. Fay	1618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
_	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.E). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PT	TO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	} 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		· · — —	Stage
application from the International Bur	·		3
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
 ∠) Interest of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ∠) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ 	∕08) 5) ☐ Notice of I	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	_ ·	

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.

The response to the restriction requirement of November 2, 2005 has been received and entered.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-11 are indefinite as to the expression "non-interfering substituents;".

Such phrase fails to clarify the intended meaning.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 4-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for certain diseases characterized by alpha-synuclein fibril formation, does not reasonably provide enablement for all diseases characterized by alpha-synuclein fibril formation. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The factors to be considered whether a disclosure meets the enablement requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, have been described in In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir.1988). Among these factors are:

Application/Control Number: 10/762,444 Page 3

Art Unit: 1618

1) The nature of the invention:

The claims are drawn to a method of treating mammals suffering from a disease characterized by alpha-synuclein fibril formation using gallic acid.

2) The state of the prior art:

The prior art does not recognize that treatment of all conditions associated with synuclein fibril formation is accomplished in the same manner. According to Lance, Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment, 43rd edition, pages 50-54 and 971-974 the treatment of dementia and Parkinson's are considered to be different.

3) The relative skill of those in the art:

The relative skill of those in the art is high.

4) The predictability or unpredictability of the art:

The unpredictability of pharmaceutical and chemical art is high.

5) The breath of the claims:

The claims are very broad and encompass a composition for treating any disease associated with alpha-synuclein fibril formation.

6) The amount of direction or guidance provided:

Applicant's specification provides guidance for and it is only enabled for the treatment of certain disorders characterized by alpha-synuclein fibril formation using the claimed compound. In re Dreshfield, 110 F.2d 235, 45 USPQ 36 (CCPA 1940), gives this general rule: "It is well settled that in cases involving chemicals and chemical compounds, which differ radically in their properties it must appear in applicant's specification either by the enumeration of a sufficient number of the members of the

Art Unit: 1618

group or by other appropriate language, that the chemicals and chemical combinations included in the claims are capable of accomplishing the desired results." Applicant's specification does not set forth a representative number of examples diseases characterized by alpha-synuclein fibril formation being treated by the claimed compounds.

7) The presence or absence of working examples;

The examples in applicant's specification are not drawn to the effect of the claimed compounds for the treatment of any diseases characterized by alphasynuclein body formation.

8) The quantity of experimentation necessary;

Since compound structure and activity for such pharmaceutical use must be determined from case to case by painstaking experimental study, one of ordinary skill in the art would be burdened with undue experimentation to determine all diseases characterized by alpha-synclein fibril formation, which be treated by the claimed compounds.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Seed et al. (U.S. Patent 3,833,732). Saeed et al. teach the use of gallic acid and it's derivatives in a pharmaceutical formulation for treatment of inflammation. See the abstract. The

Art Unit: 1618

above reference also teaches that gallic acid is used for boosting the anti-Parkinson activity of L-DOPA. See column 1, lines 50-54. The use of a label with the instruction to use does not create a patentably distinct composition or the use thereof. See In re Ngai, F.3d, 2004 WL 1068957 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ZOHREH FAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Z.F